

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/928,682	08/13/2001	Ted L. Beaver	09798495-0034	8173
26263	7590 01/16/200	4	EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
	RIVE STATION, SEA	ARS TOWER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	•		3727	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

≫		•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/928,682	BEAVER, TED L.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<u>(n</u>
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and stimely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to h places the application	o a n in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing da	•		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amoust fithe shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Offi	riate extension ice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed am	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		idered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v			l an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 09/928,682



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The issues for appeal are made further complex by the addition of "having an opening area substantially equal to an area ..." as stated in claims 1 and 15.